



Paper overview and an introduction to security

COSC312 / COSC412

COSC312 / COSC412 paper overview

- Overall aim of the paper
 - Explore the modern theoretical bases of cryptography—a central aspect of contemporary computing
 - Investigate security technology in practice
- Since 2014 focus on crypto. & security over complexity
 - (Obviously exam papers pre-2014 thus cover different topics)
- In 2023 we introduced COSC312—welcome!

Lecturers

- David Eyers
 - Primary expertise: cryptography in practice; security topics
- Michael Albert
 - Primary expertise: quantum cryptography, theory topics

Teaching times: COSC312 / COSC412

- **Two-hour lecture** per week COSC312 & COSC412
 - COSC412 students otherwise carry out self-directed study
- Additional teaching schedule for COSC312:
 - On-demand **one-hour tutorial** per week
 - Tutorials start in week one, but no specific work is set
 - **Two-hour lab** slot per week
 - Labs start in week two
- No assessment linked to labs or tutorials

Assessment

- **COSC312 Two assignments (40% total)**
 - A1, worth 20%, due 26th August—start of week 7
 - A2, worth 20%, due 30th Sept.—start of week 11
- **COSC412 Three assignments (40% total)**
 - A1 and A2 as above, but both worth 10%
 - **A3: Poster and presentation (20% total)**
 - PDFs of posters will be due 11th October—end of week 12;
 - Presentations will be in week 13 (*i.e.*, the last week of term)
- **Exam:** Worth 60%, date TBC

Textbook? Resources?

- We are not setting a particular textbook for the course
 - We expect to provide **online references**
- The COSC412 and COSC312 website resources and lecture notes sections will link to relevant material:
 - <https://cosc312.cspages.otago.ac.nz/>
 - <https://cosc412.cspages.otago.ac.nz/>
- We'll present **more than the examinable material**
 - In exam: only what we've been able to discuss

More on posters and presentations (A3)

- COSC412: you will select a **security issue of interest** that you can research in groups
- Groups must **write & design their poster** collaboratively
 - They will be submitted before the presentations
 - Academic posters contain a lot of content—examples later
- **Presentations from groups** must involve all members of the group: during the introduction and/or poster tour

Potential outline of material

- Introduction, security, cryptography theory (**DE**)
 - **L1**: Introduction and administration
 - **L2**: Fundamentals of classical cryptosystems and one-time pads
 - **L3**: Stream ciphers, key agreement & asymmetric cryptography
- Quantum computation and cryptography (**MA**)
 - **L4**: Quantum computation
- More cryptography in practice (**DE**)
 - **L5**: Kerberos and Microsoft Active Directory
 - **L6**: Block ciphers, HTTPS, TLS/SSL and certificates
 - **L7**: Decentralised authorisation and OAuth 2.0

Potential outline of material (cont.)

- Mid-semester break is between L7 and L8
- More cryptography in practice **(DE)**
 - **L8**: Reliability, distributed consensus and bitcoin
 - **L9**: Blockchain and cryptocurrencies
 - **L10**: Homomorphic Encryption
 - **L11**: Programming language security (TBC)
 - **L12**: Hardware and formal security (TBC)
- **L13**: Poster presentations **(412)** and exam advice **(DE)**

Learning objectives of lecture one

- Understand **computer security** fundamentals
- Be able to explain **cryptology's** role in security
 - For the 'in practice' parts of the course, we usually employ cryptography as a black box tool
- Appreciate **alternatives** to cryptography
 - Describe the limits of cryptography as a tool
 - Explain threats cryptography cannot protect against

What is cryptography?

- A dictionary definition:
 - cryptography | krip'tägrəfē | noun
 - *“the art of writing or solving codes.”*
- You should aim to be able to define the term more specifically to computing than this!
 - The theory part of this course will help...

What is computer security?

- **Physical security:** protect console / computer
 - Computer can be stolen? Encrypt disks
- **Software security:** authenticity, correctness
 - e.g., code signing; verifying software behaviour
- **Information security** has three main pillars:
 - Confidentiality; Integrity; Availability
- **Network security:** untrustworthy regions

Why is cryptography useful for security?

- An **untrusted channel** can be used by intercommunicating **trusted principals**
 - This is a correctness property...
- ... but what about liveness of communications?
 - Malicious **reading**, or **reading and writing**?
- Attackers don't need full control to **break networks**
 - e.g., DDoS (Distributed Denial of Service)

Key principle: shared secret

- **Trusted interactions need pre-shared data**
 - Diffie-Hellman key-exchange establishes a shared secret but does not authenticate—beware man in the middle (MITM) risks
- Look for where **shared secrets** fit in any given system
 - May not be immediately obvious
- Contrast the shared secret encoding in:
 - HTTPS, SSH, PGP

Some security doesn't need cryptography

- **Physical security**

- Air gap isolation; walk-in access to data centres
- Restricting peripheral access (how?)

- **Network security**

- Separate physical network cabling
- Separate virtual networks (e.g., VLANs)

- What about **software security**?

- Compile software from source... but is this enough?

When is cryptography use inappropriate?

- **Performance** used to be an argument—less so, now
- Storage of **life-long sensitive data**?
 - While attackers might not be able to read the data today, you *are* still giving them your data in some form!
 - For **how long** will a given cypher be secure?
 - What application domains have this concern?
- **Managing keys** may be challenging

Cryptography ageing (... badly)

- Cryptographic strength diminished
 - e.g., DES
- Bug in cryptography
 - MD5—hash collisions can be constructed:
 - http://s3.amazonaws.com/dmk/md5_someday.pdf
- Bug in protocol
 - OAuth; Kerberos 4; NTLM; ...

New hardware, new threats to crypto.

- Hardware performance increases allow for **brute-force attacks** that were not previously possible
 - End of Moore's Law: have to go parallel
 - ... but many attacks parallelise easily
 - Multicore CPUs, GPUs, FPGAs, Xeon Phi, many available via large botnets
- **Indexing techniques:** attackers have more storage too
 - Practical to compute large datasets for attacks

Pillars of information security

- Recall the three main pillars of information security:
 - **Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability**—CIA (!)
 - We will look at where cryptography fits within each
- Other classifications exist, such as the **IAS Octave**:
 - Adds: privacy, authenticity & trustworthiness, non-repudiation, accountability and auditability
 - CIA principles can help inform these extra ones

Crypto in info. sec.: confidentiality

- **Confidentiality** (AKA secrecy) is probably the most widely appreciated cryptography use
 - Hiding of information
 - Controlling a set of people that have access
- Cryptography supports confidentiality when key distribution is controlled
 - Asymmetric cryptography: easier key distribution control
 - (Alternatively just don't give out the data!)

Crypto in info. sec.: integrity

- **Checksums** can check for changes in data
- Go further to create **Message Authentication Codes (MACs)** that include principal's identifying information
 - Usually use symmetric cryptography
- **Digital signatures** go further than MACs
 - Use asymmetric cryptography
 - Include necessary means for nonrepudiation

Crypto in info. sec.: availability

- Can cryptography help **secure availability**?
 - Not directly...
- Resources are used when rebuffering attacks
 - Therefore attacks can affect availability cheaply
- Cryptography **can help indirectly**
 - Validate authenticity of network link usage
 - Effect distributed rate control of malicious use

Cryptography in code executables

- Signing of 'data' that is actually executable code
 - e.g., Java Archives (JARs), and
 - macOS and Windows executables
- Linux package repositories include signatures
 - Often of packages rather than the EXEs contained (Debian)
- ... also sometimes from the bad guys (how?)

Building effective, secure systems

- Ross Anderson (University of Cambridge) has pioneered the field of **Security Engineering**
 - Cryptography? Yes, but also:
 - Social science; psychology; economics; etc.
 - Whole-system view—you can't retrofit good security
- Key point: most **security systems involve users**
 - (Terrible idea: they tend to mess everything up!)
 - The **weakest link** usually won't be the cryptography...

Too much trust in cryptography?

- ... But it **can** be the cryptography or usage protocol
 - e.g., on <https://www.lightbluetouchpaper.org> search for 'Chip and PIN'
- Ross Anderson's group's bank disagreements
 - Highlight risks of banks blaming consumers:
 - Often assume their technology is near-perfect
- In any case: best **plan security failures** too

Social engineering attacks

- Why would hackers try to break cryptography when they can **access services through users**?
 - **Phishing** attacks are highly profitable
- We wouldn't expect to be 'phished'
 - ... but we tend to see so-called 'driftnet' attacks
 - Driftnet attacks are easy to launch, and have low yield
 - Targeted social engineering attacks are a different story: careful research is undertaken by the attacker

Authentication and Authorisation

- Return to how users participate in security
- **Authentication** involves proving identity
 - Generally this should not need to change much
- **Authorisation** checks follow authentication
 - Privileges of user on target system are checked
 - Much more likely to change frequently

... AAA — add Accounting too

- Systems such as RADIUS provide for AAA
 - (Remote Authentication Dial In User Service)
 - RADIUS is often behind corporate Wi-Fi APs
- In addition to managing user identity, and user privileges, RADIUS also manages usage tracking
- How does cryptography link to accounting?

Revocation

- Justifies authorisation / authentication split:
 - May need to **remove the privileges** of a user,
 - but you can't “remove” **their identity**
- **How quickly** does revocation take effect?
- Revocation and digitally-signed assertions:
 - Can systems revoke digitally signed statements?
 - e.g., HTTPS CRLs—more on these later

Delegation

- Delegation is a desirable security facility
 - **Temporarily** give another user privileges
 - Needs a clear **revocation protocol**
 - ... or an understanding that revocation is impractical
- Most use-cases only **transfer some privileges**
 - Aim is not for the delegator to be entirely impersonated by the target of delegation!
 - ... so we need rich user privilege representation, which leads onto access control

Access Control

- ... is an enforcement mechanism of some policy
- Typically **code-based enforcement**, but this risks:
 - Missing access control checks
 - Time of check to time of use (TOCTOU) errors
- Can code access control directly into software, but...
 - Ideally make **policy entirely code independent**
 - Can use libraries such as XACML

Access Control Matrix

- Fundamental representation of **users**, **objects** and **privileges** within a secured system

	/dev/random	Directory 'logs'	File 'report.pdf'
User Jim	read	read, write, execute, own	
User Ned	read	read, execute	read,write,own

- Collect columns? Get Access Control Lists (ACLs)
- Collect rows? Get 'capabilities'
- ... but this representation is of static security

Discretionary Access Control—DAC

- DAC is the most common form of access control
- Users are **free to modify access privileges** over objects that they own—think Unix / NTFS filesystem permissions
- No system-wide security policy

Mandatory Access Control—MAC

- Common in military / intelligence services
- Data-linked security: **system-wide policy**
 - Often based on labels
 - Users have labels; processes inherit labels
 - Data items also have labels
- User/data **label policy is enforced**, e.g.:
 - No write-down—you can't declassify information
 - No read-up—you can't read more sensitive data

Role-based Access Control—RBAC

- Introduce roles as an **abstraction** between users and privileges
- Like user groups, but more expressive
 - Roles have to be activated **within a session**
 - **Role activation** usually under control of the user
 - e.g., RBAC avoids Solaris needing all-powerful ‘root’ user
- We’ll see an RBAC / crypto link much later

Summary

- Introduced **cryptography** and **security**
 - Cryptography is not always needed for security
 - Placed crypto in the context of access control
 - Skimmed over use of crypto in typical software systems and network protocols
- **Security Engineering**: a whole-system view
 - Consider all of the interacting participants
 - Plan for security failures—everyone makes mistakes!